UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. 8:18-cv-00994-JLS-DFM Date: December 07, 2018

Title: Georgia Babb et al. v. California Teachers Association et al.

Present: Honorable JOSEPHINE L. STATON, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Terry Guerrero N/A

Deputy Clerk Court Reporter

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFF: ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR DEFENDANT:

Not Present Not Present

PROCEEDINGS: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER GRANTING STATE DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS (Doc. 53)

Before the Court is a Motion to Dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction brought by the members of the California Public Employment Relations Board ("the State Defendants").¹ (Mot., Doc. 53.) Plaintiffs² opposed (Opp., Doc. 57) and the State Defendants replied (Reply, Doc. 70). The Court finds this matter appropriate for decision without oral argument. Fed. R. Civ. P. 78; C.D. Cal. R. 7-15. Accordingly, the hearing set for December 14, 2018, at 10:30 a.m., is VACATED. For the reasons given below, the Court GRANTS the State Defendants' Motion.

In *Janus v. AFSCME Council 31*, 138 S. Ct. 2448 (2018), the Supreme Court held that no form of payment to a union, including agency fees, can be deducted or attempted to be collected from an employee without the employee's affirmative consent. *Id.* at 2486. Prior to the issuance of *Janus*, the State Defendants enforced California Government Code section 3546, which authorizes the compulsory collection of agency fees. (SAC ¶ 13.)

¹ The members are Erik Banks, Priscilla Winslow, Erich Shiners, and Arthur A. Krantz, and they have been sued in their official capacity. (*See* Second Amended Complaint ("SAC") ¶ 13, Doc. 51.)

² Plaintiffs are current or former public-school teachers Georgia Babb, John J. Frangiamore Jr., William Happ, Aaron Holbrook, Michelle Pecanic-Lee, David Schmus, and Abram van der Fluit. (SAC at 3.)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. 8:18-cv-00994-JLS-DFM

Title: Georgia Babb et al. v. California Teachers Association et al.

In this case, Plaintiffs seek only prospective injunctive and declaratory relief against the State Defendants.³ (Id. ¶¶ 33–35.) Specifically, the Plaintiffs seek a declaration that they cannot be forced to pay agency fees and that California Government Code 3456 is unconstitutional. (Id. ¶¶ 35 (c), (e).) Further, Plaintiffs seek to enjoin the State Defendants from enforcing any California law that requires the payment of agency fees. (Id. ¶ 35 (i).) The State Defendants seek dismissal on the grounds that the claims asserted against them are moot because "there is no reasonable expectation after Janus that the State Defendants would enforce any law requiring the payment of agency fees

without consent." (Mem. at 5.) They have submitted a declaration attesting to their commitment to no longer enforce California Government Code section 3456 and to fully

comply with Janus. (See Torres Decl., Ex. 1 to Chang Decl., Doc. 71.)

Shortly after the State Defendants filed their Motion on September 18, 2018, the Court granted a motion to dismiss for mootness in a related case based on indistinguishable facts. *See Yohn v. California Teachers Assoc.*, No. 8:17-cv-00202, Doc. 198 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 28, 2018). Plaintiffs' Opposition here advances identical arguments to those made by the plaintiffs in *Yohn* and argues that the Court "incorrectly decided" *Yohn*. (Opp. at 13–15.) However, the Court sees no reason to depart from the reasoning underlying that decision.

Accordingly, for the same reasons stated in this Court's Order in *Yohn*, the State Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED.

Initials of Preparer: gg

Date: December 07, 2018

³ Additional relief is sought against the California Teachers Association, United Teachers of Los Angeles, and National Education Association. (SAC ¶¶ 10−12; 35 (a)−(i).)